

Administration**Evaluation of Principals and Other Certificated Administrative Personnel****1. Objectives**

The Board recognizes that the roles of a school superintendent, principal, assistant principal, and other certificated administrative personnel of the School District (hereinafter collectively referred to as "Administrators") are varied and complex requiring an appraisal process that accurately measures performance and provides support for the continued growth and improvement of the Administrator. The general job description and an evaluation instrument with performance standards for each administrative position shall be promulgated under the direction of the Superintendent of Schools and approved by the Board of Education, and provided to the affected Administrator. The appraisal process for each Administrator and administrative position shall serve these purposes:

- a. To raise the quality of administration and educational service to the children of our community.
- b. Clarify for the Administrators their respective role in the school system as seen by the Board.
- c. Clarify for all Board members the role of the administration and the immediate priorities among each Administrator's responsibilities.
- d. Develop harmonious working relationships between the Board and administrative supervisors and each Administrator.
- e. Aid the individual Administrator to grow professionally.

The evaluation of administrative performance is intended to be a cooperative and continuing process designed to improve the quality of the educational program.

2. General Procedures

The formal Administrator appraisal is based primarily upon the procedures and processes defined below. The procedures provide for a consistent and equitable appraisal of important aspects of the Administrator's duties and responsibilities. They do not, however, specifically include the total range of expectations of the effective performance of the administrative duties of each administrative position or the Administrator assigned to such position. As a result, additional data and information related to the respective administrative role may be utilized to generate a comprehensive appraisal.

3. Appraisal Cycle

Probationary Administrators shall be observed and evaluated at least once each semester, except the Superintendent, who shall be observed and evaluated by the Board of Education once each semester in the first contract year of employment, and once per year each contract year thereafter. Permanent (tenured) Administrators shall be evaluated at least once each school year. Observations and evaluations of greater frequency or number than required may be conducted and made at the request of the Administrator or in the discretion of the appraiser.

The appraisal cycle and appraisal process for a permanent Administrator is intended for the direction of the responsible appraiser. A failure to complete evaluations within the designated cycle or in the manner directed by this policy shall not give the permanent Administrator rights, but may be addressed in evaluating the responsible appraiser's performance.

The entire instructional period for Administrators cannot be defined in terms of an instructional period and shall be satisfied by the actual observation of an Administrator's work for no less than fifty (50) minutes (cumulative) during the time periods being evaluated.

4. Appraisal Process

The appraisal process is the responsibility of the Administrator and the Administrator's immediate supervisor as determined by the Board of Education and/or the Superintendent of Schools, provided that the supervisor of the Superintendent shall be the Board of Education. In the event the responsible appraiser has not initiated the appraisal process within the time or in the manner required, the Principal has the responsibility to timely notify the responsible appraiser.

Performance standard categories set forth in the evaluation instrument for each administrative position, as adopted and amended from time-to-time, shall serve as the basis for the informal job-target-based formative appraisal and the formal summative appraisal. During the formal summative appraisal, data is collected as required to provide a basis for appraising the performance categories. Data collection may include, but is not limited to, surveys (formal or informal information gathering from staff, students, parents, community members, and other Administrators), statistics received by routine reports; statistics generated by reports specifically designed for the appraisal; review of sample written materials of the Administrator (such as, for example, a Principal's evaluations of teachers) and observations of performance. The Administrator may be assigned responsibility to assist with data collection and shall have the duty to provide such assistance as is requested.

The collected data along with the professional opinions of the responsible appraiser shall serve as the basis for the final appraisal report.

5. Final Formal Summative Evaluation

The appraisal process culminates in a final formal summative evaluation. The final formal summative evaluation consists of a rating of each performance category identified in the evaluation instrument, identification of whether the Administrator's performance meets or does not meet district standards of performance, a list of deficiencies in the Administrator's performance, suggestions and plans for improvement to assist the Administrator in overcoming such deficiencies, and follow-up evaluations as appropriate in order to correct performance below district standards. As a professional, the Administrator may be assigned responsibility to provide suggestions for improvement plans or similar job growth strategies and shall have the duty of complying with such requests. Further, in the event improvement plans or other similar performance measures are implemented, the Administrator shall have the duty to comply with such plans. The Administrator is expected to be cooperative, professional, and to exhibit a

willingness to improve performance and to accept the constructive criticisms and suggestions of the appraiser.

See: Evaluation instruments for each administrative position.

Date of Adoption: August 12, 2014